

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,732	12/16/2003	Atsushi Tokuda	740756-2684	3635	
22204 75	590 03/27/2006		EXAM	INER	
NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW			GARRETT, DAWN L		
SUITE 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			1774		
			DATE MAILED, 02/27/200	DATE MAIL ED. 02/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- W	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/735,732	TOKUDA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Dawn Garrett	1774		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 D</u> 2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-finalnce except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 July 2004 is/are: a)	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  er.  ⊠ accepted or b)  objected to	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-27-04;10-22-04.				

Application/Control Number: 10/735,732 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is responsive to applicant's response to the restriction and election requirement received December 15, 2006. Applicant canceled claims 16-19, which resulted in an election without traverse of product claims, Group I, claims 1-15. Applicant further elected polythiophene as the conjugate polymer and Formula (2) as the electron-accepting compound.

Claims 1-15 read upon the elected species and are currently under consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102/103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 13-15 are rejected under U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Heuer et al. (US 6,368,731). Heuer et al. teaches electroluminescent assemblies comprising a substrate, an anode, an electroluminescent

Art Unit: 1774

element and a cathode (see abstract). The electroluminescent element contains one or more zones selected from the group consisting of hole injection zone, hole transport zone, electroluminescent zone, electron transport zone, and electron injection zone (see abstract). Heuer et al. teaches the hole injection zone is preferably comprised of an uncharged or cationic polythiophene (see col. 2, lines 32-56). The polythiophenes are used in the cationic form by treatment of neutral thiophenes with oxidizing agents (see col. 11, lines 17-21). The oxidized polythiophenes read upon the "conjugate polymer that ... has been oxidized" in claim 1. It does not appear that claim 1 requires the electron-accepting compound, such as formula (2) of claim 7, be present in the final product. Claim 1 only requires that the polythiophene is oxidized. Heuer et al. appears to clearly disclose a final electroluminescent device product with an oxidized polythiophene as required. In the alternative that Heuer et al. is not considered sufficient to anticipate the final product, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected an oxidized, cationic polythiophene for the hole injection zone, because Heuer et al. teaches that such a polythiophene is desirable as the hole injecting material. Claims 13 and 14 are considered to be product-by-process type claims and Heuer et al. is deemed to disclose the final product as required (see MPEP 2113).

5. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Heuer et al. (US 6,368,731) in view of Yang et al. (US 5,723,873). Heuer et al. is relied upon as set forth above. Heuer et al. teaches the zones or zones located between the hole injection zone and the cathode can also assume a plurality of functions, i.e. one zone can contain, for example, hole-injecting, hole-transporting, electroluminescent, electron-transporting and/or electron injecting substances (see col. 2, lines 63-67). Yang et al. teaches in analogous art "electron injection layer" and "hole

Application/Control Number: 10/735,732 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

blocking layer" are synonymous terms used in the art (see col. 16, line 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included an electron injection zone (hole blocking layer) in the Heuer et al. device, because Heuer et al. teaches such a functional zone may be included in the device.

- 6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Heuer et al. (US 6,368,731) in view of Ara (US 6,613,454). Heuer et al. teaches inclusion of a light emitting material in the electroluminescent layer (see col. 21, lines 16-19 and col. 21, lines 47-53), but fails to disclose specifically a triplet-excitation type light emitting material. Ara teaches in analogous art the use of light emitting layers for electroluminescent devices exhibiting triplet-excitation (see col. 7, lines 38-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected a light emitting layer exhibiting triplet excitation as taught by Ara for the light emitting layer (electroluminescent layer) of the Heuer et al. device, because Ara teaches such a layer is known in the art and one would expect the light emitting layer material to be similarly useful as light emitting material in the Heuer et al. device.
- 7. Claims 1-7 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Heuer et al. (US 6,368,731) in view of Lidberg et al. Proceedings of SPIE The International Society for Optical Engineering (1995), 2397 (Optoelectronic Integrated Circuit Materials, Physics, and Devices), p. 633-42. Heuer et al. teaches electroluminescent assemblies comprising a substrate, an anode, an electroluminescent element and a cathode (see abstract). The electroluminescent element contains one or more zones selected from the group consisting of hole injection zone, hole transport zone, electroluminescent zone, electron transport zone, and electron injection zone (see abstract). Heuer et al. teaches the hole injection zone is preferably comprised of an

uncharged or cationic polythiophene (see col. 2, lines 32-56). The polythiophenes are used in the cationic form by treatment of neutral thiophenes with oxidizing agents (see col. 11, lines 17-21). The oxidized polythiophenes read upon the "conjugate polymer that ... has been oxidized" in claim 1. It does not appear that claim 1 requires the electron-accepting compound, such as formula (2) of claim 7, be present in the final product; however, in the event that the electronaccepting compound does become part of the conjugate polymer material, Lidberg et al. teaches polythiophenes doped with electron acceptors such as 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane as oxidized conductive polymers (see abstract, Figure 1, and page 635, first line of first paragraph under 2.1 heading). The oxidized polymers are taught to be applicable to applications such as light emitting diodes (see page 633, second and third lines of text under "Introduction" heading). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected the oxidized polythiophenes taught by Lidberg et al. for the Heuer et al. device, because Lidberg teaches the polymers are suitable for a light emitting diode and Heuer et al. teaches oxidized polythiophenes are desirable as the hole injecting material of the Heuer et al. devices. Claims 13 and 14 are considered to be product-by-process type claims and Heuer et al. is deemed to disclose the final product as required (see MPEP 2113).

Page 5

8. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Heuer et al. (US 6,368,731) in view of Lidberg et al. Proceedings of SPIE - The International Society for Optical Engineering (1995), 2397 (Optoelectronic Integrated Circuit Materials, Physics, and Devices), p. 633-42 in further view of Yang et al. (US 5,723,873). Heuer et al. and Lidberg are relied upon as set forth above. Heuer et al. teaches the zone or zones located between the hole injection zone and the cathode can also assume a plurality of functions, i.e. one zone can contain, for example,

Application/Control Number: 10/735,732

Art Unit: 1774

hole-injecting, hole-transporting, electroluminescent, electron-transporting and/or electron injecting substances (see col. 2, lines 63-67). Yang et al. teaches in analogous art "electron injection layer" and "hole blocking layer" are synonymous terms used in the art (see col. 16, line 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included an electron injection zone (hole blocking layer) in the Heuer et al. device, because Heuer et al. teaches such a functional zone may be included in the device.

Page 6

9. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Heuer et al. (US 6,368,731) in view of Lidberg et al. Proceedings of SPIE - The International Society for Optical Engineering (1995), 2397 (Optoelectronic Integrated Circuit Materials, Physics, and Devices), p. 633-42 in further view of Ara (US 6,613,454). Heuer et al. and Lidberg are relied upon as set forth above. Heuer et al. teaches inclusion of a light emitting material in the electroluminescent layer (see col. 21, lines 16-19 and col. 21, lines 47-53), but fails to disclose specifically a triplet-excitation type light emitting material. Ara teaches in analogous art the use of light emitting layers for electroluminescent devices exhibiting triplet-excitation (see col. 7, lines 38-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected a light emitting layer exhibiting triplet excitation as taught by Ara for the light emitting layer (electroluminescent layer) of the Heuer et al. device, because Ara teaches such a layer is known in the art and one would expect the light emitting layer material to be similarly useful as light emitting material in the Heuer et al. device.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,732

Art Unit: 1774

## Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dawn Garrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1523. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dawn Garrett Primary Examiner Art Unit 1774 Page 7

March 17, 2006